

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939.389	08/24/2001	Haile Mehansho	8675	3453	
27752 75	590 05/16/2003				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			EXAMINER &		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/939,389		MEHANSHO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Helen F. Pratt		1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,16-20 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) <u>3-15,21-26 and 28-42</u> is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
1 _	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment		priority dridor o	2 3.0.0. 33 120	GIIG/OF IZ I.				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC	s) 0-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev	i. 17	ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 6				

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 27 is confusing in that it depends on claim 2, and an art rejection is required, but if the dependency of claim 27 is a mistake and the claim should have been depending on claim 26, then the claim is allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhuravskaya et al. XP-002194869, Stanko (3,294,544), or Kogyo (JP 2-154,673).

XP discloses a composition as in claim 1 containing skim milk proteins and polysaccharides such as arabinogalactan. No yogurt is seen in the composition.

Stanko discloses a composition as in claim 1 containing arabinogalactan (AG) and milk, which contains the milk protein casein (col. 4, lines 50-61).

Kogyo discloses a beverage as in claims 1, 2, 27 that contains AG, which can be added to processed milk (page 4, para. 6-8). Milk generally has a pH of above 6 as in claims 2 and 27.

Art Unit: 1761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhuravskaya et al. XP-002194869 and Kubota (WO 01/47376 A1), Stanko (3,294,544), Kogyo (JP 2-154,673) and further in view of Composition of Foods.

Claim 16 further requires an oil. Milk and milk powder are known to contain fat, hence milk labeling that says 2% milk and whole milk. Milk fat contains fatty acids ester as in claim 18, in the form of mostly fatty acids. One of the fatty acids of milk is oleic acid as in claim 19 (Composition of foods, pages 132, 133). Claim 20 further requires omega-3-fatty acids. This is a popular fatty acid used in many health foods for its degree of unsaturation. Nothing new is seen in adding a known oil for its known function absent anything unexpected. Attention is invited to In re Levin, 84 USPQ 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. In re Benjamin D. White, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 USPQ 267; In re Mason et al., 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 USPQ 221. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make a product containing the claimed oils because In re Levin states that nothing new is seen in adding additional ingredients without a coaction or cooperative relationship to product new, unexpected and useful function, and this is not seen in the claimed composition containing known oils.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-15, 21-26, 28-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 703-308-1978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Hp 5-13-03

HELEN PRATT
PRIMARY EXAMINER